



Course Delivery Report

Institution's name Rangsit University
Faculty School of Nursing

Section 1 General Information

BNE106 วิทยาศาสตร์สุขภาพสำหรับพยาบาล 2 2 (2-0-4)
 (Health Science for Nursing II)

Co-requisite course -

Prerequisite course -

Semester 1/2025

Section 01

Course type

General course General course
 Specific course 1) Basic professional course
 Free elective course

Course coordinator Assist.Prof.Dr.Suphangphim Rattasumpun Former instructor Invited
 Aj. Dr.Nuttapol Yuwanich Former instructor Invited

Course instructor Assist.Prof.Dr.Suphangphim Rattasumpun Former instructor Invited
 Aj.Dr.Nuttapol Yuwanich Former instructor Invited

Study place Room 3-509 In site Out site

Latest update January 5, 2026

Section 2 Comparison of Actual Teaching/Learning with the Teaching Plan

5. Topics with actual teaching hours that did not match the plan.

None

| Topics | Number of hours according to the lesson plan | Actual teaching hours | Identify the reasons why the actual teaching differed from the lesson plan by more than 25% | Actions to improve teaching |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Unit 1 Cell physiology and pathology | 2 | 2 | | |
| Unit 2 Body defenses and alterations in body defense | 4 | 4 | | |
| Unit 3 Cardiovascular system and alterations in cardiovascular system | 7 | 7 | | |
| Unit 4 Renal and urinary system and alterations in renal and urinary system | 6 | 6 | | |
| Unit 5 Respiratory system and alterations in the respiratory system | 6 | 6 | | |
| Unit 6 Body fluid, electrolyte, and acid–base and alterations in body fluid, electrolyte, and acid–base | 5 | 5 | | |

2. Topics that could not be delivered as lesson planed.

None

3. Effectiveness of teaching methods in producing the learning outcomes specified in the course description

| 1. Knowledge | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| 1 | 1.1 Explain the knowledge, concepts and theories in pathophysiology and related science physiology and pathology of disease in each human body system | - Lecture - Class participation | - Examination -1 st Exam (28%) -2 nd Exam (37%) - Quiz (10%) | 100 | 5 | None |

| 2. Skills | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| 5 | 2.1 1) Using IT tools to search data for analyzing the selected case and problem-solving 2) Engaging in effective communication skills in | - Self-study and complete their assignment by reading, writing, and searching for knowledge from various resources before attending the class tutorial. | - Discussion and knowledge sharing in class tutorial. - Case presentation | 100 | 5 | None |

| 2. Skills | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| | presenting and/or discussing the health problems | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Discussion and knowledge sharing in class tutorial. - Group work on case scenario assignment | and written report (10%) | | | |

| 3. Ethics | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| 8 | 3.1 Expressing honesty, discipline, respect for rules, and accountable behaviors | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Group tutorial is done in 2 case scenarios, focusing on the pathophysiology as follows: Case scenario 1: focuses on the pathophysiology of the renal and urinary system Case scenario 2: focuses on pathophysiology of cardiovascular & respiratory system - Student's assignment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Class attendance and punctuality - The case report is submitted on time - Reflect honesty, discipline, respect | 100 | 5 | None |

| 3. Ethics | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|--|---|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Students work in groups (5 students per group) and select 1 case scenario/group. - Each group analyses the selected case scenario. - Students in each group present their work and discuss it with the lecturer and other students in class. - The instructor summarizes the key concepts. - Each group submits the written report within 3 days after the presentation. - Admire good students as role models in honesty, discipline, respect for rules, and accountable behaviors | for rules, and accountable behaviors of others and themselves, and show a positive attitude toward the nursing profession during classroom tutorials and conducting assignments. (5%) | | | |

| 4. Personal attributes | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------------|
| PLOs | CLOs | Teaching methods | Evaluation methods | Percentage of evaluation result | Marks | Suggestion for improvement |
| 6 | 4.1 Demonstrate leadership, work as a team, and respect and honor others. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Assigned students to work as a team on the assignment. - Encourage students to be team leaders and team members when working in a group | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Self-reflection Observe and record skill and responsibility in their role (5%) | 100 | 5 | None |

Section 3 Summary of the Teaching and Learning Outcomes for the Course

1. Number of students registered (as of the add/drop deadline): 32 students
2. Number of students remaining at the end of the semester: 32 students
3. Number of students who withdraw from a course (W): 0 students
4. Number of students who missed the exam (F): 0 students

Grades distribution

| Grade range | Number of students | Percentage |
|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| A | 6 | 18.8 |
| B+ | 5 | 15.6 |
| B | 7 | 21.8 |
| C+ | 6 | 18.8 |
| C | 8 | 25.0 |
| D+ | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 |
| F | 0 | 0 |
| I | 0 | 0 |
| IP | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 32 | 100 |

5. Factors influencing abnormal distribution of the grades.

None

6. Course review results by the review committee (Academic Standards Committee or a committee appointed by the faculty/department)

| Topics and details of the verification | Consistency | Inconsistency |
|---|-------------|---------------|
| 1. The course objectives align with the learning outcome standards. | | |
| 2. The teaching content is complete as described in the course description. | | |
| 3. The assessment methods are consistent with the teaching content. | | |
| 4. The assessment criteria are consistent with the specified criteria. | | |
| Other suggestions: | | |

Section 4 Problems and Impacts on the Operation

4.1 Issues related to learning resources and facilities.

| Obstacles in utilizing learning resources and facilities | Impact on learning |
|---|---------------------------|
| None | None |

4.2 Management and organizational issues

| Management and organizational obstacles | Impact on learning |
|--|---------------------------|
| None | None |

Section 5 Course Evaluation

5.1 Student evaluation results for the course

5.1.1 Key criticisms from student evaluations

The summary of student evaluations from the Course Evaluation is as follows:

Overall, the teaching and learning management of the course was rated by students as being very good, as the core principles and content of the course could serve as a foundation for nursing practice (Mean = 4.60, SD = 0.83). The sequencing of the course content was also rated as very good (Mean = 4.64, SD = 0.54). The learning activities and assignments were appropriate, leading to very good learning outcomes (Mean = 4.60, SD = 0.42). The content and all learning activities were highly aligned with the credit units (Mean = 4.50, SD = 0.75). Overall, the instructors demonstrated a very good level of knowledge and expertise in the subject matter (Mean = 4.63, SD = 0.58). The evaluation in this course was also very appropriate (Mean = 4.64, SD = 0.49).

Overall, the teaching in this course was very appropriate (Mean = 4.60, SD = 0.65). Learning of concepts, content, and processes was also very good (Mean = 4.55, SD = 0.45). The teaching in this course greatly encouraged further research outside of class (Mean = 4.68, SD = 0.57). As a result of attending this course, participants were very well-prepared for nursing practice (Mean = 4.70, SD = 0.48). Overall, students were very satisfied with the teaching in this course (Mean = 4.75, SD = 0.47).

5.1.2 Instructor's comments on the criticism in section 1.1.

The case study assignment divides students into smaller groups to enhance their learning.

5.2 Course evaluation results by other methods

5.2.1 Key criticisms from evaluation methods other than this one

None

5.2.2 Instructor's comments on the criticism in section 2.1

None

Section 6: Improvement Plan

6.1 Progress in teaching and learning improvements as proposed in the previous course report

| Improvement plan for the previous semester/academic year | Results | Reason for unimprovement previously proposed |
|--|--|--|
| Students' learning behavior should be continuously monitored throughout the semester. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improved <input type="checkbox"/> Unimproved <input type="checkbox"/> Pending improved | None |
| Students should search videos related to the disease they are studying in order to gain a better understanding of the subject. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improved <input type="checkbox"/> Unimproved <input type="checkbox"/> Pending improved | None |

6.2 Other actions to improve the course

The instructors may consider additional teaching methods, such as using games or in-class question-and-answer sessions, to attract student attention and encourage greater participation.

6.3 Suggestions from the course instructor to the curriculum instructor

Students who do not have a science-related background should have a systematic foundational learning program.

Course Coordinator: Assist.Prof.Dr.Suphangphim Rattasumpun

Signature



Date January 5, 2026

Course Evaluation

BNE 106 Health Science for Nursing II

1st Semester, Academic Year 2025

(N = 32)

| No. | Topics | Mean | SD | Max | Min |
|------------|--|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 1 | The main concept and context are useful for nursing practice. | 4.60 | 0.83 | 5 | 4 |
| 2 | The sequence of class schedule is in an appropriate order. | 4.64 | 0.54 | 5 | 4 |
| 3 | Learning activities and assignments are appropriate which facilitate a good learning behavior. | 4.60 | 0.42 | 5 | 3 |
| 4 | All learning content and activities are aligned with the number of hours. | 4.50 | 0.75 | 5 | 3 |
| 5 | The evaluations in this course are appropriate. | 4.63 | 0.40 | 5 | 4 |
| 6 | Overall, the teaching and learning in this course is appropriate. | 4.60 | 0.65 | 5 | 4 |
| 7 | Overall, the instructors are knowledgeable and expert in the topic that they teach | 4.63 | 0.58 | 5 | 4 |
| 8 | Students learn both the concepts, content, and processes. | 4.55 | 0.45 | 5 | 3 |
| 9 | Students conduct additional search beyond the classroom. | 4.68 | 0.57 | 5 | 4 |
| 10 | The outcomes of taking this course prepare students readiness for nursing practice. | 4.70 | 0.48 | 5 | 4 |
| 11 | Overall, students are satisfied with this course. | 4.75 | 0.47 | 5 | 4 |